

# In The United States Court of Federal Claims

## Cover Sheet

Plaintiff(s) or Petitioner(s)

19-4 C

Names: \_\_\_\_\_

Location of Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s) (city/state): \_\_\_\_\_

(If this is a multi-plaintiff case, pursuant to RCFC 20(a), please use a separate sheet to list additional plaintiffs.)

Name of the attorney of record (See RCFC 83.1(c)): \_\_\_\_\_

Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact information for pro se plaintiff/petitioner or attorney of record:

Post Office Box: \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City-State-ZIP: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone & Facsimile Numbers: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Is the attorney of record admitted to the Court of Federal Claims Bar? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Nature of Suit Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Select only one (three digit) nature-of-suit code from the attached sheet.

Agency Identification Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Claims Involved: \_\_\_\_\_

Amount Claimed: \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Use estimate if specific amount is not pleaded.

Bid Protest Case (required for NOS 138 and 140):

Indicate approximate dollar amount of procurement at issue: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Is plaintiff a small business? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Was this action preceded by the filing of a protest before the GAO? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, was a decision on the merits rendered? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Income Tax (Partnership) Case:

Identify partnership or partnership group: \_\_\_\_\_

Takings Case:

Specify Location of Property (city/state): \_\_\_\_\_

Vaccine Case:

Date of Vaccination: \_\_\_\_\_

Related Case:

Is this case directly related to any pending or previously filed case(s) in the United States Court of Federal Claims? ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If yes, you are required to file a separate notice of directly related case(s). See RCFC 40.2.

**Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet**

Names of Plaintiffs

1. Grayson Sharp
2. Justin Tarovisky

Location of Plaintiffs

Waymart, Pennsylvania  
Morgantown, West Virginia

Notice of Directly Related Case

Pursuant to RCFC 40.2, this case involves similar parties and is based on the same or similar claims in the following case in this Court: *Martin et al. v. the Unites States*, No. 13-834C. Plaintiffs believe that assigning the case to the same judge can be expected to conserve judicial resources and promote the efficient administration of justice.